Group Presentation Critique

	Criteria	Comments
Group cohesiveness:		
•	Did it seem like a uniform presentation?	
	Did it seem practiced?	
	Did it seem like the sections fit together well?	
	Were there smooth transitions between the	
	sections that made organization clear and tied the	
	presentation together?	
	Did all group members participate equally?	
	Were the different speakers equally prepared?	
Introduction:		
•	Did it grab your attention?	
	Did it give the audience an incentive to listen?	
	Did it set up the presentation well?	
	Did it preview the parts of the presentation?	
Body	content:	
•	Was the information clear?	
	Was the body of the presentation well organized?	
	Was the presentation supported with sources?	
•	Were the sections of the body well chosen?	
	Were the transitions between sections well	
	planned?	
•	Did the presentation reflect the paper (if	
	presenting a paper)?	
Conclusion:		
•	Did the conclusion summarize the presentation	
	well?	
•	Was there a well planned closer that wrapped up	
	the presentation?	
•	Was the audience left with a clear understanding	
	of the importance of the information?	
Visual aids:		
•	Were they professional looking?	
•	Were they well used?	
•	Did they add to the presentation?	
Delive		
•	Did the presentation seem practiced?	
•	Was the delivery extemporaneous (rather than	
	manuscripted)?	
•	Was the delivery fairly consistent between group	
	members?	
•	Did group members avoid distracting behavior	
0	(random movement, vocal fillers [uh, um], etc.?	
Quest	ion and Answer:	
•	Did the group members seem prepared to answer	
_	questions? Were the answers clear?	
-	Did the answers provide enlightening	
-	information?	
	mornianon:	
Fina	Grade:	