Moslem Federation: Mohammedan Unity By Clarissa Lorenz *The Washington Post (1923-1954)*; May 24, 1941; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The Washington Post (1877-1996) pro 7



Mohammedan Unity

THE Mohammed-200,000,000 ans inhabiting a single contin-uous area from India to the Sudan, and bound together in a sense by a common riligion and, culture, symbolize a glant whom neither the Axis powers nor Great Britain is anxious to arouse, lest the dilemma of the Sorcerer's Apprentice be reenacted. If the Mo-hammedans take sides, the side they take will probably win. can this giant be kept asleep? But

Before it becomes a force with which the world has to reckon there would need to be closer unity of the Mohammedan coun-tries—India, Afghanistan, Sinkiang, Persia, Iraq, Syria, Turkey, Transjordania, Palestine, Kuweit, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Hadra, Maut Yemen, Egypt. the English Sudan, Libya, Tunisia, Algiers. Morocco, Spanish Morocco, Rio French West Africa, etc.--half of them independent, Rio d'Oro, -about

ļ

half of them independent. Mecca, as we recall from our schoolbooks, is the religious cen-ter. Since the reward of a soldier is eternal delight, a Moslem para-dise of happy companionship with beautiful houris, they all move to-gether, ready to sacrifice their lives when the green flag of the prophet is unfurled. In Cairo, largest Mohammedan city, the tralives when the prophet is unfurled. In largest Mohammedan city, the tra-ditions of Arab culture are best preserved, although they did not inste there. While they speak Arabic (somelarge-ditions of A-preserved, although -originate there. While they spe-various tongues, Arabic (some thing like the lingua franca) is the common language. And mos of them use the same Arab char of them use the same Arab char of them are the same Arab char of the same Arab char - to it is And most acter, for there is a pleasant custom in Arabic of leaving out the vowels so that each country can supply those belonging to its own dialect.

AT PRESENT there is no secular head to the Mohammedan world, like the Caliphs of Bag-dad in the remote past, or the erstwhile Sultan of Turkey. But the But the impulse to blend these various languages, nations, sects and races has already found expression in such gestures as the youth movements of Egypt and Turkey. This unification, begun in Saudi Ara-bia, has spread over Egypt and Syria, and will ultimately reach the most distant corners of the Mohammedan world. Today Moslemism has perhaps not generated enough driving power to create a potent ally, but if the people knew that they could rely on the sup-port of the great democracies it might easily supply the weight needed to tip the scales in the

present crisis. The British have to decide whether it is preferable to en-courage Moslem unity, trusting the people not to cut the lifeline of the British Empire, or whether propose such a federation. The is beset by many to oppose such a federation. Ine first alternative is beset by many complications. There is Suez, the weakest link in the chain con-necting Great Britain to its east-ern empire; there is Palestine and the question of the Jewish home-land, the Moslem population in India and Java, the French man-date of Syria and the British Fort date of Syria and the British of Aden. But if Great Br Fort Britain of Aden. But if Great Britain opposes such a federation, she risks the danger of a German land attack on a weak and disunited people. Once Turkey is con-quered by the Germans nothing can stop them from obtaining the coveted oil fields of Iraq and Persia Persia. As for the Mohammedans, they have more to fear from Italy, with her imperialistic policy, or from the Germans, with their Drang imperialistic policy, or from Germans, with their Drang h Osten. They would them-es prefer that the keys to the Nach Osten. The selves prefer that gates of the Mediterranean be kept in two hands, Gibraltar and Ceuta, instead of being handed over to Spain.

IN ANY CASE, the question is an acute one, in view of the pres-ent German drive to the east. The democracies should at least attempt to win the Mohammedans over to their side. Britain's friendly policy, giving independ-ence to Egypt, Iraq and Transjor-dania, has already established a good relationship. The victories in Libva opened the way for an ence to bey a lineady established dania, has already established good relationship. The victories in Libya opened the way for an uprising against Italy, and France is now powerless to oppose a suf-In Dibya openied the way for an uprising against Italy, and France is now powerless to oppose a suf-ficently strong revolt in Algiers. Tunisia or Morocco. As for Spain, that country was hardly able in her more potent days to conquer the Riffs, and it is questionable whether in her present weakened state she could control them. What is the American attitude toward a Moslem federation? Eco-nomically, our interests in that part of the world are relatively small. To be sure, the old fields of Behrein Island and partly those of Persia are American-owned, but for a considerable time they

of Behrein Island and party mose of Persia are American-owned, but for a considerable time they will be unable to produce oil by themselves. If any other import-ant minerals were to be derived from these countries, they could always be obtained through the regular trading channels. In accordance with the Wilson principle of self-determination of nations, however, our attitude to-

ward the notion of a united Mos-lem can only be favorable. Amer-ica would welcome the creation of a unit so strong and stable that it insured peace in that part of the world. This does not, of review mean reviving the days however, our attitude to e notion of a united Mos reviving the days ... We have enough of the prophet. We have enough leaders at the moment, an over-supply of fuehrers, but we trust that it would be essentially demo-

that it would be essentially demo-cratic, a peaceful culture. Our greatest hope for perma-nent peace under the present cir-cumstances is to establish large and fixed units based upon a common culture, replacing the number of small, shifting tribes unable to defend themselves against the consolidated power of militarized nations.